28

1	
2	
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5	
6	
7	MICHAEL L. WEIBEL,
8	Petitioner, No. C 12-1346 PJH (PR)
9	vs. ORDER OF DISMISSAL
10	THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
11	Respondents.
12	
13	This is a mandamus case filed pro se by a state prisoner. The petition was filed on
14	March 16, 2012. On that same day the court notified petitioner that he had neither paid the
15	filing fee nor submitted an application for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. A copy of the
16	court's form for applications to proceed in forma pauperis was provided with the notice,
17	along with a return envelope. Petitioner was informed that if he did not either pay the fee or
18	file the application within thirty days the case would be dismissed. No response has been
19	received.
20	This case is <b>DISMISSED</b> without prejudice. The clerk shall close the file.
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.
22	Dated: April 26, 2012.  PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON
23	United States District Judge
24	
25	
26	
27	

or

 $G: \label{lem:condition} G: \label{lem:condition} G: \label{lem:condition} G: \label{lem:condition} PRO-SE \label{lem:condition} P$